## **CCSS Informational/Explanatory Writing Rubric**

## Grades 3-5

Score	Purpose and Organization	Elaboration	Conventions
4	<ul> <li>The response has a clear and effective organizational structure, creating a sense of unity and completeness. The response is fully sustained, and consistently and purposefully focused:</li> <li>consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas</li> <li>logical progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>effective introduction and conclusion</li> <li>controlling or main idea of a topic is clear, focused, and strongly maintained</li> <li>controlling or main idea of a topic is introduced and communicated clearly within the purpose, audience, and task</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response clearly and effectively elaborates ideas, using precise language:</li> <li>comprehensive evidence from sources is integrated</li> <li>references are relevant</li> <li>effective use of elaborative techniques</li> <li>use of domain-specific vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The response demonstrates a strong command of conventions:</li> <li>few, if any, errors in usage and sentence formation</li> <li>effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>
3	<ul> <li>The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected. The response is adequately sustained and generally focused:</li> <li>adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety to clarify the relationships between and among ideas</li> <li>adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>adequate introduction and conclusion</li> <li>controlling or main idea of a topic is clear and mostly maintained, though some loosely related material may be present</li> <li>main idea of the topic is adequate within the purpose, audience, and task</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The response provides adequate support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes the use of sources, facts, and details. The response adequately elaborates ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language:</li> <li>some evidence from sources is integrated</li> <li>references may be general</li> <li>adequate use of elaborative techniques</li> <li>use of domain-specific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions:</li> <li>some errors in usage and sentence formation are present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed</li> <li>adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>
2	<ul> <li>The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident.</li> <li>The response is somewhat sustained and may have a minor drift in focus: <ul> <li>inconsistent use of transitional strategies and/or little variety</li> <li>uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end; and or formulaic</li> <li>introduction or conclusion, if present, may be weak</li> <li>controlling or main idea may be clearly focused but is insufficiently sustained</li> <li>controlling or main idea may be unclear and/or somewhat unfocused</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes uneven or limited use of sources, facts, and details. The response elaborates ideas unevenly, using simplistic language:</li> <li>evidence from sources is weakly integrated, vague, or imprecise</li> <li>references may be vague, imprecise, or absent</li> <li>weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques</li> <li>use of domain-specific vocabulary is uneven or somewhat ineffective for the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions:</li> <li>frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning</li> <li>inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>
1	<ul> <li>The response has little or no discernible organizational structure. The response may be related to the topic but may provide little or no focus:</li> <li>few or no transitional strategies are evident</li> <li>frequent extraneous ideas may be evident; may be formulaic</li> <li>introduction and/or conclusion may be missing</li> <li>may be very brief or have a major drift</li> <li>focus may be confusing or ambiguous</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The response provides minimal support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes little or no sources, facts, and details. The response's elaboration of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing:</li> <li>evidence from the source material is minimal, absent, incorrect, or irrelevant</li> <li>references may be absent or incorrect</li> <li>minimal, if any, use of elaborative techniques</li> <li>use of domain-specific vocabulary is limited or ineffective for the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions:</li> <li>errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscured</li> </ul>